

## TRANSMISSION VERIFICATION REPORT

TIME : 08/17/2007 09:37  
 NAME : DAVID VICTOR  
 FAX : 3108397217  
 TEL : 3108397217  
 SER. #: 00005J222854

DATE, TIME	08/17 09:36
FAX NO./NAME	15712733172
DURATION	00:00:40
PAGE(S)	82
RESULT	OK
MODE	STANDARD ECM

**KONRAD RAYNES & VICTOR, LLP**

*315 S. Beverly Drive, Suite 210  
Beverly Hills, California 90212*

*Telephone: (310) 556-7983  
Facsimile: (310) 556-7984*

**FAX COVER SHEET****PLEASE DELIVER THIS FACSIMILE  
TO EXAMINER PHUC H. TRAN**

TO: Commissioner for Patents  
 Attn: Examiner Phuc H. Tran  
 Group Art Unit: 2616

FROM: David W. Victor  
 OUR REF: 0077.0031  
 TELEPHONE: 310-556-7983

Total pages, including cover letter: 2

PTO FAX NUMBER: 1-571-273-3172

If you do NOT receive all of the pages, please telephone us at 310-556-7983, or fax us at 310-556-7984.

Description of Documents Transmitted:

**INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM**

Applicant: D. GUPTA et al.  
 Serial No.: 10/695,376  
 Filed: October 27, 2003  
 Group Art Unit: 2616  
 Docket No.: D1711A

# KONRAD RAYNES & VICTOR, LLP

*315 S. Beverly Drive, Suite 210  
Beverly Hills, California 90212*

*Telephone: (310) 556-7983  
Facsimile: (310) 556-7984*

## FAX COVER SHEET

### PLEASE DELIVER THIS FACSIMILE TO EXAMINER PHUC H. TRAN

TO: Commissioner for Patents  
Attn: Examiner Phuc H. Tran  
Group Art Unit: 2616

FROM: David W. Victor  
OUR REF: 0077.0031  
TELEPHONE: 310-556-7983

Total pages, including cover letter: 2

**PTO FAX NUMBER: 1-571-273-3172**

If you do NOT receive all of the pages, please telephone us at 310-556-7983, or fax us at 310-556-7984.

Description of Documents Transmitted:

**INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM**

Applicant: D. GUPTA et al.  
Serial No.: 10/695,376  
Filed: October 27, 2003  
Group Art Unit: 2616  
Docket No.: P17142

**CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8:**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to Examiner Phuc H. Tran of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on August 17, 2007.

/David Victor/

David W. Victor

**Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form**Application No.: 10/695,376Examiner: Phuc H. TranFirst Named Application: D. GUPTA et al.Art Unit: 2616 Status of Application: After Non-Final**Tentative Participants:**(1) David W. Victor(2) Phuc H. Tran

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Proposed Date of Interview:** August 20, 2007**Proposed Time:** 2:00 PM (EST)**Type of Interview Requested:**(1)  Telephonic    (2)  Personal    (3)  Video ConferenceExhibit to be shown or demonstrated:  Yes     No  
If yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_**Issues to be Discussed**

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Sec. 102</u>	<u>1, 2, 4</u>	<u>Kim</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Brief Description of Argument to be Presented:**

With respect to claim 1, want to discuss why cited FIG. 6a of Kim does not disclose claim requirements of reading the generated at least one header and payload from the first and second queues and including the read at least one header and payload in the packet. Cited col. 6 of Kim discusses separate queues for payload and header of received packet. Kim mentions that the payload from the buffers is outputted in response to a payload transmission signal as part of a write. (col. 7, lines 51-58) Cited FIG. 6a concerns a priority encoder to control reading of the cells. Header signals are used to read the header. However, want to discuss lack of disclosure of claim requirement of reading header and payload from separate queues and then including in a packet. Further, no disclosure that operations performed as part of constructing a packet. Instead, the cited Kim discusses separate header and payload as part of write operation to process a cell input stream.

With respect to claim 2, want to discuss why cited col. 4 of Kim does not disclose transmitting the constructed packet to a target node. Cited col. 4 discusses receiving headers, but not transmitting packet constructed from headers and payloads in different queues as claimed.

May also want to discuss claim 4.

**An Interview was conducted on the above-identified application on \_\_\_\_\_.****NOTE:**

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This Application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

/David Victor/

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)